

COURT No.2  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI

16.

OA 647/2015 with MA 696/2015

Ex Nk Satyendra Kumar ..... Applicant

VERSUS

Union of India and Ors. .... Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Sonu Sharma, proxy for  
Ms. Archana Ramesh, Advocate  
For Respondents : Dr. Vijendra Singh Mahndiyan, with  
Mr. Sagar Mehlawat, Advocate  
Maj A.R Subramaniam, OIC, Legal Cell

CORAM

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER

10.01.2024

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the arguing counsel for the applicant is unwell.

In view of the averments that had been made in Para-V(a) which reads to the effect:-

*“(a) Disability Pension In the instant case, the Competent Medical Authority i.e. the Release Medical Board as well as OIC Records The Sikh Regt, accepted his disabilities as attributable to military service. Therefore, Disability Pension is admissible to the applicant under Rule 81 (a) (Annexure R-6) of The Pension Regulations for the Army 2008, Part I for which claim has been re-submitted to PCDA(P) Allahabad vide Records The Sikh Regiment letter No. 10315564H/Pen/DP dated 07 August 2015 (Annexure R-3) for notification of PPO. However, PPO is yet to be received from them. The delay occurred on the Part of respondents is not intentional, but the delay occurred due to involvement of various authorities before submission of claim to PCDA (P) Allahabad and observations raised by PCDA (P) Allahabad in piecemeal.”*

The respondents are directed to put up the status of the issuance of the PPO qua the applicant in relation to the grant of disability pension therein.

The matter be re-notified for next date of hearing on 07.02.2024.

**(JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA)  
MEMBER (J)**

**(REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG)  
MEMBER (A)**

/Pooja